

# AGENDA (Revised 1/24/11)

## Meeting of the Budget and Personnel Panel of the Capital Area Regional Planning Commission

January 26, 2011

CCB Rm 421 Conference Rm, 210 Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd., Madison WI

1:30 p.m.

---

1. Roll Call
2. Approval of minutes of the meeting of November 15, 2010
3. Discussion of sustainable funding for CARPC beyond 2011
4. Discussion and possible action regarding Future Urban Development Area planning
5. Future meeting agenda items
6. Adjournment

*NOTE:* If you need an interpreter, translator, materials in alternate formats or other accommodations to access this service, activity or program, please call the phone number below at least three business days prior to the meeting.

*NOTA:* Si necesita un intérprete, un traductor, materiales en formatos alternativos u otros arreglos para acceder a este servicio, actividad o programa, comuníquese al número de teléfono que figura a continuación tres días hábiles como mínimo antes de la reunión.

*LUS CIM:* Yog hais tias koj xav tau ib tug neeg txhais lus, ib tug neeg txhais ntawv, cov ntawv ua lwm hom ntawv los sis lwm cov kev pab kom siv tau cov kev pab, cov kev ua ub no (activity) los sis qhov kev pab cuam, thov hu rau tus xov tooj hauv qab yam tsawg peb hnuv ua hauj lwm ua ntej yuav tuaj sib tham.

CARPC staff 608-266-4137 + TDD 608-266-4529

## **Draft MINUTES**

### **of the November 15, 2010 Meeting of the Capital Area Regional Planning Commission Budget and Personnel Panel**

Dane County Executive Conference Room, Room 421  
210 Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd.  
Madison, Wisconsin

Present: Mayor Dave Cieslewicz; DCTA President Jerry Derr; Dane County Executive Kathleen Falk; DCCVA President Jon Hochkammer; CARPC Chair Phil Van Kampen

Absent: None

Others present: CARPC staff Chris Gjestson, Kamran Mesbah and Steve Steinhoff; Renee Lauber; Brad Murphy; Mario Mendoza; Topf Wells

#### **1. Roll Call**

Chair Derr called the meeting to order at 3:03 p.m.; roll was recorded.

#### **2. Approval of minutes of the meeting of November 3, 2010**

Motion by Mr. Hochkammer, second by Mr. Cieslewicz, to approve the minutes of the meeting of November 3, 2010. Motion carried.

#### **3. Discussion of sustainable funding for CARPC beyond 2011**

Mr. Derr stated that decisions needed to be made on how CARPC could be funded, including enabling the agency to hire an Executive Director. Mr. Van Kampen suggested engaging constituent governments to determine support for a future budget charge up to the statutory limit of 0.3 mills. Mr. Hochkammer stated he would refer the question to DCCVA membership. Mr. Cieslewicz stated that CARPC funding should be based on a broad, county-wide source of funding, since the benefits of CARPC were county-wide. Ms. Falk stated that the official position of Dane County government was that 0.17 mills was the maximum allowable charge, as reflected in the CARPC charter; any change would require multiple steps, including Governor approval since it was his Executive Order that created CARPC. She stated that the charter also allowed and anticipated user fees. Mr. Derr stated that a broader, general source of funding was most appropriate because the issues and benefits of regional planning crossed municipal boundaries; revenue from fees fluctuated over time and could not be relied upon for funding ongoing basic operations. Discussion continued.

#### **4. Discussion and consideration of Future Urban Development Area planning**

Mr. Mesbah described the process for FUDA planning, and referred to materials e-mailed to members on November 5. Ms. Falk asked questions of staff. Mr. Mesbah stated that FUDA plans were anticipated to be conducted for the list of the thirteen FUDA priority areas based on community willingness and ability, and ideally would coincide with updates of the local comprehensive plans. Ms. Falk stated that FUDA plans for those areas with recently approved large USA amendments should not be a priority. She requested that CARPC provide criteria for determining the schedule for communities to conduct FUDA planning. Mr. Mesbah stated that the Commission determined that plans would be done based on community willingness and ability; Ms. Falk requested different criteria be provided. Mr. Van Kampen stated that instructing communities to conduct

FUDA planning, rather than marketing the benefits and seeking volunteers, was not workable; Ms. Falk disagreed.

Ms. Falk stated that the FUDA description indicated that the local communities needed to approve FUDA plans; she stated this was not what was approved in the CARPC charter, which provides that CARPC was the approving agency; this needs to be reflected in the FUDA description. She stated that any description of FUDA *without* the charter language of Section 7 paragraphs g and h regarding local submission of FUDA plans was misleading (Ms. Falk referred specifically to the 2<sup>nd</sup> clause of the 2<sup>nd</sup> sentence of par. g, "...CARPC shall not act on any individual USA expansion requests until the proposed plan is submitted" and par. h, "The CARPC shall act on the proposed FUDA plan within a year of the plan's submission. If CARPC fails to do so, the plan shall be acted upon by the Budget and Personnel Panel".) Mr. Mesbah stated that that had not been a Commission directive. Mr. Van Kampen stated that adding language from the charter to a marketing tool such as a FUDA brochure was not appropriate.

Ms. Falk asked about the timeframe to complete all FUDA plans; Mr. Mesbah stated that the number of plans referenced in the 3-year grant (9) was estimated based on an effort to not over-promise deliverables; the CARPC Overall Program Design anticipated completing all FUDA plans over approximately five years, provided sufficient resources are available.

Motion by Ms. Falk to add the three sentences to the FUDA description document. Mr. Cieslewicz asked if CARPC could adopt a FUDA plan different from that approved by the local community. Mr. Wells suggested that the FUDA description document appeared to give primacy to the local comprehensive plan; however, the CARPC charter provides that CARPC water quality planning authority was primary. Without clarifying this, the document may be misleading. Discussion continued. Motion failed for lack of a second.

#### **5. Future meeting date(s) and agenda items**

Members agreed to try to meet again in the near future, as soon as the last week of November. Ms. Falk indicated she would make the same motion at the next meeting.

#### **6. Adjournment**

Motion by Mr. Cieslewicz, second by Ms. Falk, to adjourn; motion carried at 4:03 p.m.

Recorded by Chris Gjestson